arXiv:1303.0999v1 [astro-ph.SR] 5 Mar 2013

An evaporating planet explanation for the photometric variation of the extreme helium subdwarf KIC 10449976

Ealeal Bear[1] and Noam Soker[1]

March 6, 2013

ABSTRACT

We propose that the unstable periodic variation of the extreme helium hot subdwarf star KIC 10449976 is caused by the stochastic evaporation process of a planet orbiting the star with a period of 3.9 days. KIC 10449976 shows evidence for photometric periodic modulation of $P = 3.9$ day. The period is not stable, neither in time nor in amplitude. In our model the UV radiation from KIC 10449976, whose effective temperature is $T_{\rm eff} \simeq 40000K$, heats the planet and causes its envelope to swell and lose mass where dust might be formed. The estimated mass outflow rate is $\sim 10^{-9} M_{\rm Jupiter}$ yr^{-1}. Stochastic variations in the outflow rate and in dust formation rate lead to the variation in the amount of reflected light, hence to the unstable periodic behavior. Self-shielding of the dust and the behavior on the day-night boundary might lead to the stochastic outflow rate. We predict the presence of a sub-stellar companion to KIC 10449976 with a mass of $M_{\rm Jupiter} \lesssim m_p \lesssim 20 M_{\rm Jupiter}$ at an orbital separation of $a_p = 8.3$ AU.

Keywords: KIC 10449976, stellar evolution, planet, evaporation.

1. Introduction

O and B subdwarfs (sdO, sdB) are hot and compact stars that burn helium in their core and have a thin envelope. They are identified with Extreme Horizontal Branch (EHB) stars (e.g., Heber 1986, 2009), with stars evolving off-the zero age HB (ZAHB) through a 'short-cut' from the EHB to the WD cooling track (so called AGB-Manque; Dorman et al. 1993), or with the merger of two white dwarfs (WDs; e.g., Webbink 1984; Han et al. 2003; Heber 2008, 2009; Nelemans 2010). The later scenario is attractive when the star is hydrogen poor. Most popular models for the formation of sdBO involve binary interaction (e.g., Han et al. 2003;

---

[1] Department of Physics, Technion−Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000 Israel; ealealbh@gmail.com; soker@physics.technion.ac.il.

Charpinet et al. 2008; Geier et al. 2010). These models are supported by the large fraction of sdB stars in binary systems (e.g., Maxted et al. 2001; Napiwotzki et al. 2004). In the first two scenarios, those of a star on the HB or evolving off the HB, the companion is required to remove mass from the red giant branch (RGB) progenitor (e.g., Han et al. 2007). Many of the binary systems have close orbits, implying that the system has gone through a common envelope (CE) phase during the RGB phase of the sdBO progenitor (e.g., Han et al. 2002). The mass removal can in principle be done by a sub-stellar companion as well (Soker 1998; Nelemans & Tauris 1998; Soker & Harpaz 2000; Soker & Hershenhorn 2007; Politano et al. 2008; Villaver & Livio 2007, 2009; Carlberg et al. 2009; Bear & Soker 2010; Nordhaus et al. 2010).

In the case of a CE evolution of a sub-stellar companion to the RGB star, the question is whether the sub-stellar companion survived the CE phase (Bear et al. 2011; Bear & Soker 2012; Tutukov & Fedorova 2013). Bear & Soker (2012) suggest that the two Earth-size planets found by Charpinet et al. (2011) around the sdB star KIC 05807616 are remnant of the tidally destructed metallic core of a massive planet. Massive planets might even survive the CE phase, as in the case of the planet orbiting the metal-poor red HB star HIP 13044 (CD-36 1052) with an orbital period of $P = 16.2 \pm 0.3$ days (Setiawan et al. 2010). sdB stars with substellar companions at separations of $a_f \gtrsim 1$ AU have also been found (Silvotti et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2009; Qian et al. 2009, 2012). In these systems the wide substellar companions might hint on a closer planet that went through the CE phase and ejected the envelope of the RGB stellar progenitor of the sdOB star. This closer planet might have been completely destructed in the CE process. Schuh et al. (2010) noted that the increasing number of substellar companions to sdB stars may indicate the existence of an undiscovered planet population.

In this paper we examine whether the photometric periodic variation of the sdBO star KIC 10449976 can be accounted for by a planet companion. It is an extreme helium-rich subdwarf that shows evidence for photometric periodic modulation of $P = 3.9$ day with an amplitude of $\sim 0.02\%$ (Jeffery et al. 2013). KIC 10449976 effective temperature is $T_{\rm eff} = 40000 \pm 300^o K$ and its surface gravity is $\log g = 5.3 \pm 0.1$. Jeffery et al. (2013) argue that the sinusoidal modulation is probably an astrophysical variation and not of an instrumental origin, and consider possible explanations as follows. (1) Pulsation. The problem with pulsation is that for a star with the dimensions of KIC 10449976 the period of pulsations should be of the order of 200 s $\ll$ 3.9 day. (2) Reflection from a close companion. Jeffery et al. (2013) could find a companion parameters space that can explain the photometric amplitude and period, as well as the constrain that the radial velocity variability be $< 50 \pm 20$ km s^{-1}. However, the lack of stability in the apparent period rule out a stable companion. (3) Stellar spots. The photometric variation by stellar spots cannot be rule-out, but the lack of stability in the apparent period is problematic.

In section 2 we examine the possibility that reflection from an evaporating close-in planet (or a low-mass brown dwarf; BD) is behind the photometric modulation of KIC 10449976. The planet is heated up, inflates, loses mass, and the reflection from the dust causes the variation observed in the light curve. Evaporation is well studied for planets orbiting main sequence stars (e.g., Rappaport et al. 2012; Perez-Becker & Chiang 2013 and references within), and we use this process to our purposes. The two best known observed planets with evaporation processes that inflate their atmosphere are HD 209458b (Vidal-Madjar et al. 2003, 2004) and HD 188733b (Lecavelier Des Etangs et al. 2010). The estimated mass-loss rates in these cases is 10^{10-13} g s^{-1} (Owen & Jackson 2012). In section 3 we discuss the possible origin of the planet. Our short summary is in section 4.

2. REFLECTION FROM AN EVAPORATING PLANET

The heating of the planet by the strong UV radiation from the sdBO parent star can cause the planet to inflate and lose mass. The metal rich material in the inflated envelope and wind is likely to rapidly form dust. We examine the evaporation with the idea that the dust reflects the light from the parent star and account for the photometric variation of an un-stable orbital period of 3.9 day.

We use the term planet here for gas giant planets and low mass brown dwarfs (BD) in the total mass range of $1M_{\rm Jupiter} \lesssim M_p \lesssim 30M_{\rm Jupiter}$. The lower limit comes from the requirement that the planet survive the common envelope (CE) phase. However, we note that lower planet masses are possible if the planet survived the CE phase but already has lost most of its mass in the evaporation process. The upper limit comes from the requirement that the evaporation rate be high enough to cause the variation in the periodic behavior (see below); higher mass BD will have lower evaporation rate. This upper limit is highly uncertain, up to a factor of 2.

The evaporation of planets has been studied before (e.g., Owen & Jackson 2012; Bear & Soker 2011b; Perez-Becker & Chiang 2013). Owen & Jackson (2012) consider planets around main sequence stars and show that planets with orbital separation of $a \leq 0.1$ AU will have a hydrodynamical mass-loss due to X-ray and extreme UV (EUV) radiation. The two mechanisms that can cause the evaporation are Jean‘s escape (ballistic process) or hydrodynamical process. From the work of Owen & Jackson (2012) it is evident that the major mechanism for mass lose in close planets is hydrodynamical wind. We below will use an expression for evaporation based on our previous study (Bear & Soker 2011b), and note that using the expression from Owen & Jackson (2012) gives similar results.

The effective temperature and surface gravity of KIC 10449976 are $T_{\rm eff} = 40000 \pm 300K$ and $\log g = 5.3 \pm 0.1$, respectively (Jeffery et al. 2013). These give for the radius and luminosity of KIC 10449976

$$R_{\rm KIC} = 0.26 R_\odot \left(\frac{M_{\rm KIC}}{0.5 M_\odot}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad L_{\rm KIC} = 156 \left(\frac{M_{\rm KIC}}{0.5 M_\odot}\right) L_\odot, \tag{1}$$

respectively, where $M_{\rm KIC}$ is the mass of KIC 10449976. The orbital separation of the assumed planet is calculated from the $P = 3.9$ day period of the photometric variation

$$a_{\rm p} = 8.3 \left(\frac{M}{0.5 M_\odot}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{P}{3.9 \text{ day}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} R_\odot. \tag{2}$$

Assuming a total light absorption by the planet and emission as a black body from its entire surface, the surface temperature of the planet is

$$T_{\rm p} = T_{\rm KIC} \frac{{R_{\rm KIC}}^{1/2}}{(2a_{\rm p})^{1/2}} \simeq 5000 \left(\frac{M_{\rm KIC}}{0.5 M_\odot}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}} \left(\frac{T_{\rm KIC}}{40000^o K}\right) \text{ K} \tag{3}$$

where we used the period $P = 3.9$ day for the planet orbital period.

The planet's surface temperature and the strong UV radiation from the parent star imply that evaporation occurs. We use our (Bear & Soker 2011b) previously derived expression for evaporation of planets around sdOB stars (eq. 6 and Fig 2 there) and find the evaporation rate from the assumed planet around KIC 10449976 to be $\dot{M}_p \simeq 10^{14}$ g s^{-1} (the mass-loss rate is defined positively). The mass loss rate in Bear & Soker (2011b) is derived for a hydrogen rich planet of a mass of $M_p = 15 M_J$, and a radius of $R_p = 0.1 R_\odot$, that orbits an EHB star having radius, mass, and effective temperature of $R_{\rm EHB} = 0.14 R_\odot$, $M_{\rm EHB} = 0.5 R_\odot$, and $T_{EHB} = 35500$ K, respectively. The evaporated gas is ionized and can become a source of Balmer lines. Owen & Jackson (2012) get a similar range of mass-loss rates $\dot{M}_p \simeq 5 \times 10^{13} - 10^{14}$ g s^{-1} for an orbital separation of $a \simeq 0.1$ AU, and a planet mass of $M_p \sim 1 M_J$ (for more details see Fig 9 in Owen & Jackson 2012).

The optical depth of the evaporative flow is given approximately as $\tau \simeq \kappa r \rho_e$, where κ is the opacity, and

$$\rho_e = 1.8 \times 10^{-13} \left(\frac{\dot{M}_p}{10^{14} \text{ g s}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{r}{0.3 R_\odot}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{v}{1 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^{-1} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \tag{4}$$

is the density at radius r from the planet center. For the evaporative velocity we take $v = 1$ km s^{-1} which is similar to the value used by Bear & Soker (2012). For the typical radius where most reflection occurs we scale the radius to be up to three times the planet radius, $r \lesssim 3R_p \simeq 0.3R_\odot$; the radius changes stochastically in according to our model. For radiation from the central star we take the typical wavelength to be $\lambda \sim 1000\AA$, and use the results of Draine & Lee (1984) for the ISM dust to find the opacity to be $\kappa \simeq 10^3$ cm^2 g^{-1}. The optical depth if dust forms is then

$$\tau \simeq 4 \left(\frac{\dot{M}_p}{10^{14}\ \mathrm{g\ s^{-1}}} \right) \left(\frac{r}{0.3R_\odot} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{1\ \mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}} \right)^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

Owen & Jackson (2012) assume $v = 20$ km s^{-1}, for $r \sim 10^{10}$ cm and therefore their optical depth is of the order of $\tau \sim 0.4$ (Fig. 7 there). For such lower optical depths of $\tau \gtrsim 0.1$ the contribution from a more extended region is important, and over all the reflection by the evaporative flow is stil significant. Our model can work even for evaporative flows speeds of up to ~ 20 km s^{-1}. In any case, the wind blocks the stellar radiation, and we expect the outflow speed to be lower than what Owen & Jackson (2012) take.

The high optical depth, if the typical parameters used here hold, implies several things. First, if dust forms it reflects some of the stellar light on an area larger than that of the planet. Second, the dust might shield the surface of the star, hence reducing outflow. This in turn will block less light, and the temperature of the surface will rise again. A stochastic process of outflow might take place. Third, the high temperature calculated in equation (3), is much above dust formation temperature. This high temperature implies that in order to form dust, there must be shielding or rapid expansion of the outflow which cools the gas to form dust. Another possibility is that dust is dragged from the planet by the outflow as suggested by Rappaport et al. (2012) to occur in a Mercury-like planet. It is not clear that a Jupiter-like planet has enough dust in its outer layers. Any of these processes further adds to the stochastic behavior. In the shielding scenario, either dust starts to form and shields itself for further dust formation, or dust is formed in the night side of the planet. In the later as the planet orbit the star the dust will drag behind and reflects light. Again, the reflection percentage is expected to be highly time-dependent.

Based on the values assumed and derived above, of the mass outflow rate and a size of the optically thick dust region of $\sim$ few $\times\, 0.1R_\odot$, we can summarize the main points of this section as follows. When partial reflection and a not too extreme inclination of the orbit are considered, the dusty outflow derived above can account for an amplitude of up to $\sim 0.02\%$. The stochastic behavior of the outflow and dust formation accounts to the non-stable periodic behavior and to the variation of the photometric amplitude. We note that Rappaport et al. (2012) suggested that the variable eclipse depths of the K dwarf KIC 12557548 is caused by obscuration of dusty evaporative flow from a mercury-like planet. In their model the dusty outflow is variable, hence its optical depth. In our model the variability is in reflection, not obscuration, but there are some similarities in the scenario. The largest uncertainty in our model is the dust formation process. To better model this process, a numerical 3D time dependent numerical code is required that include radiative transfer, chemistry, and hydrodynamics.

3. ORIGIN OF THE PLANET

There are two scenarios for the evolution of KIC 10449976. The first scenario is that of a star that lost most of its mass on the RGB and became a blue HB star. Such a star evolves from the HB directly toward the WD cooling curve (AGB Manque; Dorman et al. 1993), and it crosses the region bordering sdB and sdO stars. To be located at the present place of KIC 10449976 on the HR-diagram, a hydrogen rich envelope should be of a mass $M_{\rm env} \sim 0.005 - 0.01 M_\odot$ (e.g., Dorman et al. 1993; Østensen 2009, 2010). There are two main questions related to this scenario: (1) How did the planet survive the CE phase during the end of the RGB phase of the progenitor? (2) Why is the envelope hydrogen poor?

If our proposed planet is real, it is not the first planet to raise the question of survivability during a CE envelope phase. Setiawan et al. (2010) announced the finding of a planet orbiting the metal-poor red HB star HIP 13044 with an orbital period of $P = 16.2 \pm 0.3$ days. Such a red HB star has an envelope mass of $0.3 M_\odot$, which makes the survivability of the planet during he CE phase more puzzle even. Bear et al. (2011) proposed that the planet orbiting HIP 13044 was engulfed by the star as a result of the core helium flash that caused this metal-poor star to swell by a factor of $\sim 3 - 4$. The evolution following the core helium flash is very rapid, and some of the envelope is lost due to the interaction with the planet, and the rest of the envelope shrinks within about a hundred years. This is about equal to the spiraling-in time, and the planet survived. Mass-loss can increase the survivability of the planet, as discussed for HIP 13044 by Tutukov & Fedorova (2013). In the case of KIC 10449976 the envelope mass is much lower, and more likely is that by the time the planet spiraled-in to its present orbital separation of ~ 8.3 AU it has expelled most of the envelope; the rest of the envelope gas collapsed on the core. Hence, in the case of KIC 10449976 the survivability of the planet is easier to explain than in the case of HIP 13044. What might further help is another inner planet that collided with the core and helped in expelling the RGB envelope (Bear & Soker 2011a; Bear et al. 2011).

The helium-rich atmosphere of KIC 10449976 can be related to an inner planet that collided with the core, or to the angular momentum of the left-over envelope that collapses on the core. Both processes lead to a shear on the core that can dredge-up helium gas to the surface and mix hydrogen to the helium layer. The same processes, including a planet companion, were discussed by De Marco & Soker (2002) to explain hydrogen poor (Wolf-Rayet) central stars of planetary nebulae. As well, the mixing of the hydrogen to the core can cause more efficient burning of hydrogen (Siess & Livio 1999), hence reducing hydrogen abundance. The influence of rotation on the post-HB evolution requires a detailed study.

The second scenario for the formation of KIC 10449976 involves the merger of two He WDs, as discussed by Jeffery et al. (2013) based on the results of Zhang & Jeffery (2012). In that case the planet might be formed as a second generation planet (e.g., Perets 2010). Silvotti (2008) discuss, as one of the possible less likely evolutionary routes, the formation of the sdB star V391 Peg via the merger of two He WDs, and the formation of its planet from a disk formed by the merger process. In the case of the two Earth-sized bodies orbiting the sdB star KIC 05807616 Charpinet et al. (2011) considered the formation of second generation planets after the merger of two WDs to be an unlikely channel.

4. SUMMARY

In a recent paper (Jeffery et al. 2013) report a 3.9 day periodic photometric variation in the hot subdwarf (sdBO) star KIC 10449976. The period is not stable, neither in time nor in amplitude. Jeffery et al. (2013) discuss three possible scenarios as possible explanations: stelar spots, pulsation, and their favorable explanation of reflection from a stellar companion. However, they don't account for the unstable periodic behavior.

In this paper we offer an alternative scenario for the unstable periodic behavior of KIC 10449976 based on a planet (or a low-mass brown dwarf) that orbits KIC 10449976 at an orbital separation of $a_p = 8.3$ AU. We argue that the UV radiation from KIC 10449976, whose effective temperature is $T_{\rm eff} = 40000 \pm 300K$, heats the planet and causes its envelope to swell and lose mass. We assume that dust is formed in the outflowing gas. This gas reflects the light from KIC 10449976 and form the photometric periodic variation. Our estimated mass-loss rate, section 2, and the assumption of dust formation implies a large enough optical depth, equation (5), to account for the required amount of reflection.

In our model, stochastic variations in dust formation rate lead to the variation in the amount of reflected light, and hence to the deviation from a strictly periodic behavior, both in period and amplitude. Several effects can lead to the stochastic dust formation process. First is the self-shielding of the dust. As more dust is formed it block the stellar surface, and evaporation rate, hence dust formation, decreases. This feedback effect can lead to a stochastic behavior. A second effect is the day-night variations, in particular if the rotation of the planet is not completely synchronized with its orbit. Dust might be formed due to 'weather' effects, in particular on the day-night boarder. Dust that is formed from the hot region there can leave the planet, as in a comet.

There are several processes that must be studied in greater detail to put our proposed scenario on a firmer ground. First is dust formation from an evaporating planets in such an intense UV radiation. The calculation is complicated as the region of day-night boundary must be taken into account. Also, the evaporation rate must be better determined. And then the amount of reflection should be calculated.

Our prediction is simply that there is a secondary body there with a mass of $M_{\rm Jupiter} \lesssim m_p \lesssim 20 M_{\rm Jupiter}$ at an orbital separation of $a_p = 8.3$ AU. We encourage accurate spectroscopic study of KIC 10449976 in order to look for such a planet. The expected orbital velocity of the parent star KIC 10449976 is $\sim 0.2 - 4$ km s^{-1}. As well, some weak Hα emission from the outflowing gas might be detected, if the planet's atmosphere is hydrogen rich. The Hα emission will be very weak, much lower than the reflected light.

This research was supported by the Asher Fund for Space Research at the Technion, The US - Israel Binational Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

Bear, E., & Soker, N. 2012, ApJ, 749, L14

Bear, E., & Soker, N. 2011a, MNRAS, 411, 1792

Bear, E., & Soker, N. 2011b, MNRAS, 414, 1788

Bear E. & Soker N. 2010, NewA, 15, 483.

Bear, E., Soker, N., & Harpaz, A. 2011, ApJ, 733, L44

Carlberg J. K., Majewski S. R. & Arras P. 2009, ApJ, 700, 832

Charpinet, S., Fontaine, G., Brassard, P., et al. 2011, Nature, 480, 496

Charpinet, S., Van Grootel, V., Reese, D., et al. 2008, A&A, 489, 377

De Marco, O., & Soker, N. 2002, PASP, 114, 602

Dorman, B., Rood, R. T., & O'Connell, R. W. 1993, ApJ, 419, 596

Draine, B. T., & Lee, H. M. 1984, ApJ, 285, 89

Geier S., Heber U., Podsiadlowski Ph., Edelmann H., Napiwotzki R. , Kupfer T. & Mueller S. 2010 A&A, 519, A25

Han Z., Podsiadlowski Ph., & Lynas-Gray A. E. 2007, MNRAS, 380, 1098

Han Z., Podsiadlowski Ph., Maxted P. F. L., & Marsh, T. R. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 669

Han Z., Podsiadlowski Ph., Maxted P. F. L., Marsh, T. R., & Ivanova N. 2002, MNRAS, 336, 449

Heber, U. 1986, A&A, 155, 33

Heber, U. 2008, Hydrogen-Deficient Stars, ASP Conference Series, Eds Klaus Werner and Thomas Rauch (San Francisco: ASP), Vol. 391, 245

Heber, U. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 211

Jeffery, C. S., Ramsay, G., Naslim, N., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 429, 3207

Lee, J. W., Kim, S.-L., Kim, C.-H., Koch, R. H., Lee, C.-U., Kim, H.-I., & Park, J.-H. 2009, AJ, 137, 3181.

Maxted P. F. L., Heber U., Marsh T. R., & North, R. C. 2001, MNRAS, 326, 1391

Napiwotzki R., Karl, C. A., Lisker, T., Heber U., Christlieb, N., Reimers, D., Nelemans G., & Homeier D. 2004, Ap&SS, 291, 321

Nelemans G. 2010, Ap&SS 2010, 164

Nelemans G., & Tauris, T. M. 1998, A&A, 335, L85

Nordhaus, J., Spiegel, D. S., Ibgui, L., Goodman, J., & Burrows, A. 2010, MNRAS, 408, 631

Lecavelier Des Etangs, A., Ehrenreich, D., Vidal-Madjar, A., et al. 2010, A&A, 514, A72

Østensen, R. H. 2010, Astronomische Nachrichten, 331, 1026

Østensen, R. H. 2009, Communications in Asteroseismology, 159, 75

Owen, J. E., & Jackson, A. P. 2012, MNRAS, 425, 2931

Perets, H. B. 2010, arXiv:1001.0581

Perez-Becker, D., & Chiang, E. 2013, arXiv:1302.2147

Politano M., Taam R. E., van der Sluys M., & Willems B. 2008, ApJ, 687, L99

Qian, S., et al. 2009, ApJ, 695, 163.

Qian, S.-B., Zhu, L.-Y., Dai, Z.-B., et al. 2012, ApJL, 745, L23

Rappaport, S., Levine, A., Chiang, E., et al. 2012, ApJ, 752, 1

Schuh, S., Silvotti, R., Lutz, R., et al. 2010, Ap&SS, 329, 231

Setiawan, J., Klement, R. J., Henning, T., Rix, H.-W., Rochau, B., Rodmann, J., Schulze-Hartung, T. 2010, Science, 330, 1642

Siess, L., & Livio, M. 1999, MNRAS, 308, 1133

Silvotti, R., Schuh, S., Janulis, R., et al. 2007, Nature, 449, 189

Silvotti, R. 2008, in Hot Subdwarf Stars and Related Objects, Eds. U. Heber, C. S. Jeffery, R. Napiwotzki, ASP Conference Series, Vol. 392, p. 215

Soker N. 1998, AJ, 116, 1308.

Soker, N. & Harpaz A. 2007, ApJ, 660, 699

Soker, N. & Harpaz A. 2000, MNRAS, 317, 861

Soker N. & Hershenhorn A. 2007, MNRAS, 381, 334

Tutukov, A. V., & Fedorova, A. V. 2013, Astronomy Reports, 57, 65

Vidal-Madjar, A., Désert, J.-M., Lecavelier des Etangs, A., et al. 2004, ApJ, 604, L69

Vidal-Madjar, A., Lecavelier des Etangs, A., Désert, J.-M., et al. 2003, Nature, 422, 143

Villaver E. & Livio M. 2007, ApJ, 661, 1192

Villaver E. & Livio M. 2009, ApJ, 705, 81

Webbink, R. F. 1984, ApJ, 277, 355

Zhang, X., & Jeffery, C. S. 2012, MNRAS, 419, 452

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LATEX macros v5.2.